

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BOYD

Case No. 07--03595 JCS

Plaintiff(s),

ADR CERTIFICATION BY PARTIES
AND COUNSEL

v.

KAM

Defendant(s).

/

Pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8(b) and ADR L.R. 3-5 (b), each of the undersigned certifies that he or she has:

- (1) Read the handbook entitled "*Dispute Resolution Procedures in the Northern District of California*" on the Court's ADR Internet site www.adr.cand.uscourts.gov (*Limited printed copies are available from the clerk's office for parties in cases not subject to the court's Electronic Case Filing program (ECF) under General Order 45*);
- (2) Discussed the available dispute resolution options provided by the Court and private entities; and
- (3) Considered whether this case might benefit from any of the available dispute resolution options.

Dated: 11/1/07

PLAINTIFF
[Party]

Dated: 11/1/07

WALTER LEE DAVIS
[Counsel]

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the ADR Docket Event entitled "ADR Certification (ADR L.R. 3-5b) of Discussion of ADR Options."

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

BOYD

Case No. 07-03595 JCS

Plaintiff(s).

ADR CERTIFICATION BY PARTIES
AND COUNSEL.


KAM

Defendant(s).

Pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8(b) and ADR L.R. 3-5 (b), each of the undersigned certifies
that he or she has:

- (1) Read the handbook entitled "Dispute Resolution Procedures in the Northern District of California" on the Court's ADR Internet site www.adr.cand.uscourts.gov (Limited printed copies are available from the clerk's office for parties in cases not subject to the court's Electronic Case Filing program (ECF) under General Order 45);
- (2) Discussed the available dispute resolution options provided by the Court and private entities; and
- (3) Considered whether this case might benefit from any of the available dispute resolution options.

Dated: 11/1/07


DEFENDANT

[Party]


JAMES S. SAMUELSEN
Counsel

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the ADR Docket Event entitled
"ADR Certification (ADR L.R. 3-5b) of Discussion of ADR Options."

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

BOYD

CASE NO. 07-03595 JCS

Plaintiff(s),

v.

KAM

**STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS**

Defendant(s).

/

Counsel report that they have met and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:

The parties agree to participate in the following ADR process:

Court Processes:

- Non-binding Arbitration (ADR L.R. 4)
- Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)
- Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)

(Note: Parties who believe that an early settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is appreciably more likely to meet their needs than any other form of ADR, must participate in an ADR phone conference and may not file this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for ADR Phone Conference. See Civil Local Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)

Private Process:

- Private ADR (please identify process and provider) Mediation

The parties agree to hold the ADR session by:

the presumptive deadline (*The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order referring the case to an ADR process unless otherwise ordered.*)

- other requested deadline March/April 2008

Dated: 11/1/07

Attorney for Plaintiff

Dated: 11/1/07

Attorney for Defendant

When filing this document in ECF, please be sure to use the appropriate ADR Docket Event, e.g., "Stipulation and Proposed Order Selecting Early Neutral Evaluation."

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

- Non-binding Arbitration
- Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)
- Mediation
- Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

- 90 days from the date of this order.
- other March/April 2008

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: _____

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE